arXiv:1606.00805v1 [physics.plasm-ph] 1 Jun 2016

Extended propagation of powerful laser pulses in focusing Kerr media

V. M. Malkin and N. J. Fisch
Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ USA 08540
(Dated: June 3, 2016)

Powerful incoherent laser pulses can propagate in focusing Kerr media much longer distances than can coherent pulses, due to the fast phase mixing that prevents transverse filamentation. This distance is limited by 4-wave scattering, which accumulates waves at small transverse wavenumbers, where phase mixing is too slow to retain the incoherence and thus prevent the filamentation. However, we identify how this theoretical limit can be overcome by countering this accumulation through transverse heating of the pulse by random fluctuations of the refractive index. In these new regimes, the laser pulse propagation distances are significantly extended, making feasible a new class of random lasers, in particular, ultra-powerful random lasers in plasmas.

PACS numbers: 42.65.Sf, 42.65.Jx, 52.35.Mw

Propagation of powerful laser pulses in focusing nonlinear media depends on the competition between the transverse dispersive spreading and focusing nonlinearity [1–6]. For negligible nonlinearity, the dispersion would double the cross section of a coherent pulse of transverse size $L_\perp$ within the Rayleigh length $L_R \sim L_\perp^2/\lambda$, where λ is the laser wavelength. A strong enough nonlinearity would noticeably reduce the pulse cross section, or even cause transverse filamentation, within the length $L_{SF} \sim v_g/\omega_{nl}$, where ω_{nl} is the nonlinear frequency shift and v_g is the group velocity of the pulse. For $L_R < L_{SF}$, the dispersive spreading outruns the self-focusing and suppresses it. We will consider focusing Kerr-like media for which the nonlinear frequency shift is proportional to the pulse intensity I, namely, $\omega_{nl} = -\alpha I$ with the positive coefficient $\alpha > 0$. Then, $L_R/L_{SF} \sim P_{ch}/P_{cr}$, where $P_{ch} \sim IL_\perp^2$ is the coherent pulse power and $P_{cr} \sim \lambda v_g/\alpha$ is the critical power of self-focusing.

A coherent laser pulse of power P much greater than the critical power, $P \gg P_{cr}$, would experience transverse filamentation within a propagation length not much exceeding the self-focusing length L_{SF}. In contrast to this, incoherent laser pulses could traverse focusing Kerr-like media, remaining statistically uniform in the transverse directions, at arbitrarily large powers. What actually matters for non-filamentation of incoherent pulses is not the total power P, but the coherent sub-power $P_{ch} \sim IL_\perp^2$ located within the transverse correlation length $L_\perp$. If this sub-power is much smaller than the critical power, $P_{ch} \ll P_{cr}$, then the Rayleigh length is much shorter than the self-focusing length, so that nonlinear phase shift accumulated within the Rayleigh length is small $\phi_{nl} \sim \omega_{nl} L_R/v_g \sim P_{ch}/P_{cr} \ll 1$.

The small parameter $P_{ch}/P_{cr} \ll 1$ enables a kind of perturbation theory. Physically, this small parameter implies that the phase mixing of different waves occurs much faster than the nonlinear interaction. In zero-order approximation, the phases of different waves are random. Statistical averaging over nearly random phases leads to a closed evolution equation for the pair correlation function of waves. This equation is usually referred to as a kinetic equation for waves. The pair correlation function of waves in Wigner representation is usually referred to as the wave spectral density. In general, the kinetic equation for waves contains terms linear, quadratic, cubic, and so forth in the wave spectral density.

The linear term describes the linear wave propagation. In conservative uniform media without group velocity dispersion, the linear propagation does not change the wave spectral density in the reference frame moving with the group velocity of the pulse.

The wave spectral density variation rate, associated with the quadratic term in kinetic equation for waves, takes into account the statistically averaged nonlinear frequency shift, proportional to the pulse intensity. The respective nonlinear phase shift can bend phase fronts and cause self-focusing of incoherent pulses in a way similar to that of coherent pulses. The evolution of transverse size (radius) of an incoherent laser pulse within such a model was discussed in many papers (see, for instance, Refs. [7, 8]). This approximation is sufficient for Kerr media in which the nonlinear frequency shift is proportional to the laser field intensity integrated over time or space, because such an integration effectively accomplishes statistical averaging (see, for instance, Ref. [9]). However, for Kerr media of interest here in which the nonlinear frequency shift is proportional to the laser field intensity itself, higher order nonlinear processes can be important.

In fact, the higher order nonlinear processes appear to be dominant for sufficiently powerful incoherent laser pulses statistically smooth in the transverse directions, namely, nearly uniform within the transverse correlation lengths of waves. This is because, for statistically uniform pulses, the averaged nonlinear frequency shift does not vary in the transverse directions, so that there is no bending of the phase fronts. In other words, the quadratic term in the kinetic equation for waves is zero. The dominant nonlinear term in the kinetic equation for the waves is then the cubic term.

The pulse power P, for which the cubic term is dominant, can be roughly evaluated as follows: For pulses statistically varying in the transverse directions within the aperture size only (but not within much smaller sizes), the wave spectral density variation rate, associated with the quadratic term in kinetic equation for waves, contains the small factor P_{ch}/P, while the variation rate, associated with the cubic term, contains the small factor P_{ch}^2/P_{cr}^2. The cubic process is much faster than the quadratic process for $P \gg P_{cr}^2/P_{ch}$. Of major interest here are pulses of very high powers P, perhaps exceeding the critical power P_{cr} by a factor of a million, while, to secure the pulse incoherence, the ratio P_{cr}/P_{ch} needs to be just somewhat larger than 1. The effect of the quadratic term in the kinetic equation for the waves on the propagation of such powerful pulses is then negligible.

The cubic term in kinetic equation for waves takes into account the 4-wave scattering. The evolution of wave spectral density due to the 4-wave scattering was subject to a long standing theoretical controversy, as recounted and resolved analytically in Ref. [10]. That analytical resolution was recently supported numerically [11].

It is noteworthy that the 4-wave kinetic equation does not depend on the sign of the Kerr coefficient α, which enters there only as α^2. Being the same for the focusing and defocusing Kerr nonlinearities, the 4-wave kinetic equation cannot itself produce self-focusing and filamentation effects. Our initial spectrum satisfies the kinetic equation applicability condition with a large safety margin. There is, however, a possibility of the spectrum evolution that deteriorates the kinetic equation applicability and eventually ruins the phase randomness through a kind of Bose-Einstein condensation of waves at small transverse wavenumbers.

Here we propose how to prevent an excessive wave accumulation at small transverse wavenumbers, thus extending the propagation of powerful laser pulses in focusing Kerr media beyond the known theoretical limits [10]. We argue that the extension of pulse propagation length is indeed possible and may be very substantial in media with random fluctuations of the refractive index. Furthermore, we show how these substantially extended propagation lengths could make feasible a new class of random lasers [12–14], based on backward Raman amplification [15–18] and capable of reaching relativistic nonfocused intensities in plasmas.

As was already noticed above, the wave spectral density variation rate, associated with the cubic term in kinetic equation for waves, contains the small factor P_{ch}^2/P_{cr}^2 compared to the rate of phase mixing. This means that the propagation length, at which the wave spectral density starts to change noticeably due to the 4-wave scattering, is $P_{cr}^2/P_{ch}^2 \gg 1$ times larger than the Rayleigh length,

$$L_{4w} \sim L_R\, P_{cr}^2/P_{ch}^2 \sim L_{SF}^2/L_R\,. \qquad (1)$$

This length is $L_{SF}/L_R \sim P_{cr}/P_{ch} \gg 1$ longer than the length L_{SF} within which a coherent pulse of the same intensity would break into filaments.

A single 4-wave scattering of two waves with transverse wavenumbers $k_\perp \sim 2\pi/L_\perp$ into two new waves can produce a wave of about twice the transverse wavenumber along with a wave of a small wavenumber. Further scattering produces waves of even larger transverse wavenumbers, so that the wave distribution spreads over a circle of growing radius $k_{\perp M}$ in the $\boldsymbol{k}_\perp$-plane. At the same time, the number of waves with small transverse wavenumbers increases. For smaller $k_\perp$, the Rayleigh length $L_R \propto L_\perp^2 \propto k_\perp^{-2}$ is longer, while the 4-wave scattering length (1) is respectively shorter. Due to the larger rates at smaller $k_\perp$, the 4-wave scattering tends to establish the equilibrium Rayleigh-Jeans distribution of wave spectral density $N_{\boldsymbol{k}_\perp} \propto (k_\perp^2 + k_{\perp m}^2)^{-1}$ inside the circle $k_\perp \lesssim k_{\perp M}$. This multi-scale distribution has roughly

$$\Lambda = \ln(k_{\perp M}^2/k_{\perp m}^2) \qquad (2)$$

different scales each of which carries approximately the same fraction $1/\Lambda$ of total pulse intensity I. Such a multiscale optical turbulence does not satisfy the classical Kolmogorov hypothesis of spectral locality of interactions. There is, however, a more general kind of locality [10], which modifies the estimate (1) as follows:

$$L_{4wk_\perp} \sim L_{SF}^2 \Lambda^2/(\Lambda_{k_\perp} L_{Rk_\perp})\,, \qquad (3)$$

where $\Lambda_{k_\perp} \approx \ln(k_\perp^2/k_{\perp m}^2)$, for $k_\perp \gg k_{\perp m}$, and $\Lambda_{k_{\perp m}} \approx 1$. The modifying factor comes from the rate of 4-wave scattering. Since this rate is quadratic in the wave intensity, two wave intensities contribute to it. One of the contributing waves must have wavenumber about $k_\perp$, and thus can carry the intensity $\sim I/\Lambda$, while the second wave may have any wavenumber smaller than $k_\perp$, and thus can carry the intensity $\sim I\Lambda_{k_\perp}/\Lambda$. Therefore, the rate of 4-wave scattering is modified by the factor $\sim \Lambda_{k_\perp}/\Lambda^2$, and the length of 4-wave scattering is modified by the inverse factor $\sim \Lambda^2/\Lambda_{k_\perp}$.

Apart from the "number of waves", the 4-wave scattering conserves also the first and the second moments of the wave spectral density in $\boldsymbol{k}_\perp$-space. The first moment, having the physical meaning of the "transverse momentum of waves", will be further assumed be zero. The second moment will be further referred to as the "transverse energy of waves", $I_\perp \propto \int N_{\boldsymbol{k}_\perp} k_\perp^2\, d^2\boldsymbol{k}_\perp$. Though conserved in the 4-wave scattering, this quantity can grow due to the pulse scattering by random inhomogeneities of the medium. The transverse energy is located mostly at $k_\perp \sim k_{\perp M}$, so that $I_\perp \propto k_{\perp M}^2/\Lambda$. For an initial pulse parameters $I_{\perp 0}$, $k_{\perp 0}^2$ and $\Lambda_0 \sim 1$, it follows $I_\perp \sim I_{\perp 0} k_{\perp M}^2/(\Lambda k_{\perp 0}^2)$, or

$$\Lambda \sim (I_{\perp 0}/I_\perp) k_{\perp M}^2/k_{\perp 0}^2\,. \qquad (4)$$

The length of $k_{\perp M}^2$ growth due to the 4-wave scattering is $L_{4M} \sim L_{4wk_{\perp M}} \sim L_{SF}^2\Lambda/L_{Rk_{\perp M}} \propto k_{\perp M}^4/I_\perp$. Integration of the equation $dk_{\perp M}^2/dz \sim k_{\perp M}^2/L_{4M}$ gives

$$k_{\perp M}^4/k_{\perp 0}^4 \sim \int dz I_\perp/(I_{\perp 0}L_{40})\,, \tag{5}$$

where $L_{40} \sim L_{SF}^2/L_{Rk_{\perp 0}}$ is the initial length of 4-wave scattering. The quantity $k_{\perp m}$ is then determined from formulas (2) and (4) for Λ.

The applicability condition of random phase approximation, $L_{4k_\perp} > L_{Rk_\perp}$, is the most restrictive at $k_\perp \sim k_{\perp m}$, where it is equivalent to $L_{Rk_{\perp m}} < L_{SF}\Lambda$. This can be rewritten, using (2) and (4), in the form

$$\exp\Lambda < (L_{SF}/L_{Rk_{\perp 0}})\Lambda^2 I_\perp/I_{\perp 0}. \tag{6}$$

If the transverse energy of waves were conserved, $I_\perp = I_{\perp 0}$, formulas (4-5) would give $\Lambda \sim k_{\perp M}^2/k_{\perp 0}^2 \sim \sqrt{z/L_{40}}$. The applicability condition (6) would restrict then the pulse propagation length as follows:

$$z < z_* \sim L_{40}\ln^2(P_{cr}/P_{ch0})\,. \tag{7}$$

This recovers the result of [10]. To remove this restriction, we propose to provide sufficient transverse heating of the pulse, which would enable Λ satisfying (6) and nearly constant at $z > z_*$. The solution of equations (4-5) with nearly constant Λ is

$$z/L_{40} \sim \Lambda^2 I_\perp/I_{\perp 0} \sim \Lambda k_{\perp M}^2/k_{\perp 0}^2\,. \tag{8}$$

The applicability condition (6) takes then the form

$$\exp\Lambda < z/L_{SF}\,. \tag{9}$$

The largest transverse wavenumber $k_{\perp M}$, given by (8), stays much smaller than the longitudinal wavenumber $k \sim 2\pi/\lambda$, so that the pulse remains paraxial, at

$$z \ll \Lambda L_{40}k_{\perp 0}^2/k^2 \sim \Lambda L_{SF}^2/\lambda\,. \tag{10}$$

This allows propagation distances $L_{SF}/\lambda \gg 1$ times larger than the filamentation length L_{SF} of coherent pulses of the same intensity.

The transverse heating, needed to counter the tendency to Bose-Einstein condensation in 4-wave scattering, may be naturally produced by pulse scattering on random inhomogeneities of the refractive index. Inhomogeneities do not necessarily need to be statistically uniform. A number of different arrangements might be possible. For example, sandwich structures could be used, wherein uniform layers of width, say, L_{40} alternate layers with random inhomogeneities of the refractive index on which the transverse heating occurs. In any event, random pushes in transverse wavenumbers for about $k_{\perp 0}/\Lambda$ within each propagation length about L_{40} would provide the transverse heating sufficient to preserve the pulse randomness and avoid the filamentation throughout distances much exceeding the previous theoretical limit (7).

Consider, for example, statistically uniform random inhomogeneities of the refractive index having typical amplitude of relative fluctuations f_r, transverse correlation length $L_{\perp r}$ and longitudinal correlation length $L_{\parallel r}$. Let also, for simplicity, the group velocity of the laser pulse be about its phase velocity. Then, according to the Hamilton equations for rays, the transverse wavenumber typically changes, during the ray passing a single fluctuation, by $\delta k_\perp \sim k f_r L_{\parallel r}/L_{\perp r}$. This estimate assumes that the ray transverse travel distance, during the longitudinal travel distance $L_{\parallel r}$, does not exceed $L_{\perp r}$, which assumption is justified for $L_{\perp r} \gtrsim L_{\parallel r}k_\perp/k$. Within the propagation length $L_{40} \gg L_{\parallel r}$, a ray typically experiences $L_{40}/L_{\parallel r}$ random changes $\delta k_\perp$ of the transverse wavenumber. The resulting random change is typically $\delta k_\perp\sqrt{L_{40}/L_{\parallel r}}$. In order for this to be about $k_{\perp 0}/\Lambda$, the system parameters should satisfy the condition

$$\Lambda f_r(L_{SF}/L_{\perp r})\sqrt{L_{\parallel r}/\lambda} \sim 1\,. \tag{11}$$

Particularly interesting applications of these new regimes could be envisioned for powerful short laser pulses in plasmas where the Kerr effect is associated with the relativistic electron nonlinearity and laser intensities and powers could be extremely large. The dispersion law for electromagnetic waves in uniform plasmas is $\omega^2 = k^2c^2 + \omega_e^2$, where c is the speed of light in vacuum and ω_e is the electron plasma frequency, $\omega_e^2 = 4\pi e^2 n_e/m_e$, $-e$ is the charge of electron, n_e is the electron concentration of plasma and m_e is the mass of electron. For laser frequencies much larger than electron plasma frequency, $\omega \gg \omega_e$, the laser group velocity is close to the speed of light in vacuum, $v_g \approx c$, and the group velocity dispersion is small.

For a mildly relativistic electron motion in the laser field, the electron mass depends on the electron quiver velocity v as $m_e = m/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2} \approx m\left(1 + 0.5v^2/c^2\right)$. The normalized quiver energy, averaged over the laser period, $\overline{v^2}/c^2$ can be expressed in the terms of laser intensity I as $\overline{v^2}/c^2 = 4\pi e^2 I/(m^2c^3\omega^2)$. The laser frequency shift due to the relativistic electron nonlinearity is then $\omega_{nl} = -\alpha I$, where $\alpha = \pi e^2\omega_e^2/(m^2c^3\omega^3)$. For such a Kerr coefficient α, the critical self-focusing power is $P_{cr} \sim (2m^2c^5/e^2)\,\omega^2/\omega_e^2 \approx 17\times 10^9\,\mathrm{W}\,\omega^2/\omega_e^2$. Though apparently only roughly estimated here, this P_{cr}, in fact, well agrees with the exactly calculated critical power for the relativistic self-focusing of axisymmetric laser pulses in plasmas [19–21].

For laser-to-plasma frequency ratio, say, 25, the critical power is $P_{cr} \sim 10^{13}$ W. To have a weakly-nonlinear regime, take the power located within the transverse coherence size to be, say, 10 times smaller, $P_{ch0} \sim 0.1P_{cr} \sim 10^{12}$ W. For the initial transverse wavenumber spread $k_{\perp 0} \sim 0.03\,k$, the initial transverse correlation

length is roughly 30 laser wavelengths, $L_{\perp 0} \sim 30\,\lambda$. For $\lambda \sim 0.3\,\mu$m, this means $L_{\perp 0} \sim 10\,\mu$m. Then, the laser pulse intensity is $I \sim P_{ch0}/L_{\perp 0}^2 \sim 10^{18}\,\mathrm{W/cm^2}$. A coherent pulse of such an intensity would experience transverse filamentation instability with the growth length $L_{SF} \sim (L_{\perp 0}^2/\lambda)\,P_{cr}/P_{ch0} \sim 3\,$mm. The incoherent pulse propagates, not much changing the wave spectral density due to the 4-wave interaction, the distance $L_{40} \sim L_{SF}P_{cr}/P_{ch0} \sim 3\,$cm. In a uniform plasma, at a few times larger distance, $z_* \sim L_{40}\ln^2(P_{cr}/P_{ch0}) \sim 20\,$cm, the pulse incoherence would be ruined by the Bose-Einstein condensation leading to the pulse transverse filamentation. In a plasma with statistically uniform inhomogeneities of the refractive index, satisfying the condition (11), the pulse can propagate, staying statistically uniform in the transverse directions and paraxial, a significantly larger distance $L_{SF}^2/\lambda \sim 30\,$m.

The plasma concentration in this example is $n \sim 2\times 10^{19}\,\mathrm{cm^{-3}}$. Such plasmas might be produced by ionization of dense aerosols [22, 23]. The droplet size can be as small as a few microns. The amplitude of relative fluctuations of the refractive index f_r can be expressed in the terms of the amplitude of relative fluctuations of the plasma electron concentration, $f_n = \delta n/n$, as follows: $f_r = 0.5 f_n \omega_e^2/\omega^2$. For $L_{\parallel r} \sim L_{\perp r} \sim 10\,\mu$, $\Lambda \sim 2$ and other parameters the same as in the above example, the formula (11) gives $f_n \sim 0.3$. For 10 times larger $L_{\parallel r}$ and the previous values of all other parameters, the formula (11) would give $f_n \sim 0.1$.

These new extended propagation regimes are particularly important for backward Raman amplification of laser pulses in plasmas [17, 24, 25], for which the transverse filamentation instability, so far, was one of major limiting factors. An appropriate randomization can suppress harmful filamentation instability without noticeably affecting the useful amplification resonance.

However, even more important than improving upon the current resonant method of backward Raman amplification, the randomization makes feasible entirely different, random laser amplification regimes. This is critical for a number of reasons.

First, a practical reason is that it is technologically challenging to produce highly homogeneous plasmas wherein the useful amplification resonance is not much spoiled by the plasma density inhomogeneities. In plasmas with large random density inhomogeneities, the amplification occurs in the parts of the plasma where the resonance conditions are randomly met. This reduces the average amplification rate, thus increasing the amplification length. The new propagation regimes suggested here do allow such extended amplification lengths which were not achievable previously.

Furthermore, the extended amplification lengths imply longer pump pulses, so that less intense pumps can carry even larger fluences. Lower pump intensities alleviate the limitation imposed by the effect of Langmuir wave breaking, devastating in deep wave-breaking regimes [17, 25–27]. The pump laser pulse intensity at the threshold of Langmuir wave breaking is $I_{br} = \pi m^2 c^5/(16e^2\lambda^2)\,\omega_e^3/\omega^3 \approx 1.7\times 10^9\,(\mathrm{W}/\lambda^2)\,\omega_e^3/\omega^3$. For the parameters from the above numerical example, this gives $I_{br} \sim 10^{14}\,\mathrm{W/cm^2}$. For a pump of $I_{br}/2$ intensity, it would take about 60 cm, to amplify the counter-propagating seed pulse to the intensity $10^{18}\,\mathrm{W/cm^2}$, while contracting to the duration 100 fs, at the energy transfer efficiency 50%.

Furthermore, random amplification regimes are capable of overcoming the previous theoretical limit on the output pulse intensities, imposed by the relativistic electron nonlinearity that detunes and thus saturates the amplification [28, 29]. The nonlinear detuning, even though it is varying along the pulse, can be randomly compensated by fluctuations in plasma frequency.

The input pulse randomization might be produced by scattering in a plasma layer with random density inhomogeneities, or through randomization techniques of the type developed for smoothing speckles in longer and less intense laser pulses used in direct irradiation of inertially confined targets for nuclear fusion [30–32].

The drawback of Raman amplification in the random laser regime is that the output pulse is not highly focusable. However, this focusability can be regained by employing the two-step backward Raman amplification scheme [18]. In such a scheme, the first step aims to accomplish the greatest possible longitudinal compression of the largest possible pump pulse energy. It requires large laser-to-plasma frequency ratio, because the achievable longitudinal contraction is an increasing function of this parameter. The randomization may be needed, only at this step, to suppress the transverse filamentation instability of the amplified pulse (which could otherwise reduce the allowed amplification length and pump energy longitudinal compression) and to enjoy the benefits mentioned above. The first-step output pulse is used then as a pump for the second step of the backward Raman amplification. Since the pump fluctuations are averaged when it is consumed by the counter-propagating amplified pulse, the requirements to the pump quality are very lenient. The second step aims primarily to regain the pulse focusability, while also accomplishing additional longitudinal compression of the laser energy, achievable in denser plasmas where the plasma frequency is larger and the plasma period is shorter. The amplification length at this step is relatively short, and the transverse filamentation instability of the amplified pulse does not pose a serious problem. Therefore, the randomization is not needed, and a highly coherent and focusable seed pulse can be used, preserving these properties through the amplification.

In summary, the key findings here are:

1. New regimes of powerful laser pulse propagation in focusing Kerr media are found. These regimes allow

propagation distances significantly exceeding the previous theoretical limit.

2. These regimes can be used to improve the resonant backward Raman amplification of ultra-powerful laser pulses in plasmas with random density fluctuations at levels not much affecting the useful resonance, while suppressing the transverse filamentation instability.

3. These regimes make feasible a new class of random lasers, wherein the output pulses reach relativistic intensities at unprecedented large powers in plasmas with random density fluctuations at levels strongly affecting the useful amplification resonance.

This work was supported by DTRA HDTRA1-11-1-0037, by NSF PHY-1202162, and by the NNSA SSAA Program under Grant No DE274-FG52-08NA28553.

[1] R. Y. Chiao, E. Garmire, and C. H. Townes, "Self-Trapping of Optical Beams," Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 479–482 (1964). http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.479

[2] V. I. Talanov, "On self-focusing of electromagnetic waves in nonlinear mediums," Izv. VUZov. Radiophysica **7**, 564 (1964).

[3] P. L. Kelley, "Self-Focusing of Optical Beams," Phys. Rev. Lett. **15**, 1005–1008 (1965). http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.15.1005

[4] V. I. Bespalov and V. I. Talanov, "Filamentary Structure of Light Beams in Nonlinear Liquids," JETP Letters **3**, 307 (1966). *http : //www.jetpletters.ac.ru/ps/*1621/*article*_24803.*shtml*

[5] S. A. Akhmanov, A. P. Sukhorukov, and R. V. Khokhlov, "Self focusing and diffraction of light in a nonlinear medium," Soviet Physics Uspekhi **10**, 609 (1968). http://dx.doi.org/10.1070/PU1968v010n05ABEH005849

[6] V. M. Malkin, "On the analytical theory for stationary self-focusing of radiation," Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **64**, 251–266 (1993). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(93)90258-3

[7] G. A. Pasmanik, "Self-interaction of incoherent light beams," Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **66**, 490 (1974) [Sov. Phys. JETP **39**, 234 (1974)].

[8] V. A. Aleshkevich, G. D. Kozhoridze, and A. N. Matveev, "Self-action of partly coherent laser radiation," Soviet Physics Uspekhi **34**, 777–803 (1991). http://stacks.iop.org/0038-5670/34/i=9/a=R02

[9] O. Bang, D. Edmundson, and W. Królikowski, "Collapse of Incoherent Light Beams in Inertial Bulk Kerr Media," Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 5479–5482 (1999). http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.5479

[10] V. M. Malkin, "Kolmogorov and Nonstationary Spectra of Optical Turbulence," Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4524 (1996). http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.4524

[11] G. Falkovich and N. Vladimirova, "Cascades in nonlocal turbulence," Phys. Rev. E **91**, 041 201 (2015). http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.041201

[12] D. Wiersma, "The physics and applications of random lasers," Nature Physics **4**, 359–367 (2008). http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys971

[13] S. Turitsyn, S. Babin, A. El-Taher, P. Harper, D. Churkin, S. Kablukov, J. Ania-Castn, V. Karalekas, and E. Podivilov, "Random distributed feedback fibre laser," Nature Photonics **4**, 231–235 (2010). http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2010.4

[14] D. Churkin, I. Kolokolov, E. Podivilov, I. Vatnik, M. Nikulin, S. Vergeles, I. Terekhov, V. Lebedev, G. Falkovich, S. Babin, and S. Turitsyn, "Wave kinetics of random fibre lasers," Nature Communications **2**, 6214 (2015). http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7214

[15] M. Maier, W. Kaiser, and J. A. Giordmaine, "Intense Light Bursts in the Stimulated Raman Effect," Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1275 (1966). http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.17.1275

[16] M. Maier, W. Kaiser, and J. A. Giordmaine, "Backward Stimulated Raman Scattering," Phys. Rev. **177**, 580 (1969). http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.177.580

[17] V. M. Malkin, G. Shvets, and N. J. Fisch, "Fast compression of laser beams to highly overcritical powers," Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4448 (1999). http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4448

[18] V. M. Malkin and N. J. Fisch, "Manipulating ultraintense laser pulses in plasmas," Phys. Plasmas **12**, 044 507 (2005). http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1881533

[19] A. G. Litvak, "Finite-amplitude wave beams in a magnetoactive plasma," Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **57**, 629 (1969) [Sov. Phys. JETP **30**, 344 (1970)].

[20] C. Max, J. Arons, and A. B. Langdon, "Self-modulation and self-focusing of electromagnetic waves in plasmas," Phys. Rev. Lett **33**, 209 (1974). http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.33.209

[21] G.-Z. Sun, E. Ott, Y. C. Lee, and P. Guzdar, "Self-focusing of short intense pulses in plasmas," Phys. Fluids **30**, 526 (1987). http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.866349

[22] M. J. Hay, E. J. Valeo, and N. J. Fisch, "Geometrical Optics of Dense Aerosols: Forming Dense Plasma Slabs," Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 188 301 (2013). http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.188301

[23] D. Ruiz, L. Gunderson, M. Hay, E. Merino, E. Valeo, S. Zweben, and N. Fisch, "Aerodynamic focusing of high-density aerosols," Journal of Aerosol Science **76**, 115 – 125 (2014). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2014.05.010

[24] V. M. Malkin, G. Shvets, and N. J. Fisch, "Ultra-powerful compact amplifiers for short laser pulses," Phys. Plasmas **7**, 2232 (2000). http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.874051

[25] V. M. Malkin and N. J. Fisch, "Key plasma parameters for resonant backward Raman amplification in plasma," Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics **223**, 1157 (2014). http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2014-02168-0

[26] Z. Toroker, V. M. Malkin, and N. J. Fisch, "Backward Raman amplification in the Langmuir wavebreaking regime," Physics of Plasmas **21**, 113 110 (2014). http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4902362

[27] M. Edwards, Z. Toroker, J. Mikhailova, and N. Fisch, "The efficiency of Raman amplification in the wavebreaking regime," Physics of Plasmas **22**, 074 501 (2015). http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4926514

[28] V. M. Malkin, Z. Toroker, and N. J. Fisch, "Saturation of the leading spike growth in backward Raman amplifiers," Phys. Plasmas **21**, 093 112 (2014).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4896347
[29] V. M. Malkin, Z. Toroker, and N. J. Fisch, "Exceeding the leading spike intensity and fluence limits in backward Raman amplifiers," Phys. Rev. E **90**, 063 110 (2014). http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.063110
[30] R. H. Lehmberg and S. P. Obenschain, "Use of induced spatial incoherence for uniform illumination of laser fusion targets," Optics Communications **46**, 27 – 31 (1983). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0030-4018(83)90024-X
[31] Y. Kato, K. Mima, N. Miyanaga, S. Arinaga, Y. Kitagawa, M. Nakatsuka, and C. Yamanaka, "Random Phasing of High-Power Lasers for Uniform Target Acceleration and Plasma-Instability Suppression," Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1057–1060 (1984). http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.1057
[32] S. Skupsky, R. W. Short, T. Kessler, R. S. Craxton, S. Letzring, and J. M. Soures, "Improved laser-beam uniformity using the angular dispersion of frequency-modulated light," Journal of Applied Physics **66**, 3456–3462 (1989). http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.344101